

CRESTPOINT UNIVERSITY

717 E. Maryland Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85014-1561 Tel: 800-371-6105 Fax: 866-347-2744

E-mail: info@crestpoint.edu
Website: https://crestpoint.edu

Criminal Law

PLG-103-2507

Syllabus and Course Guide

The Crestpoint University Criminal Law course meets 15 times over the course of the 9-week term in the Crestpoint interactive classroom. Each session consists of about 60 minutes of online lecture by the course instructor. After the lecture, students may ask questions and make comments on the material being studied.

This class will meet on Mondays and Wednesdays, beginning on Monday, June 30, 2025, at 9:00 PM Eastern time (6:00 PM Pacific time). All class sessions are recorded and may be viewed by students at any time.

To successfully complete the course, each student must satisfactorily complete:

- 6 weekly discussions
- 2 written assignments
- 2 examinations

Unless an extension has been taken pursuant to the Crestpoint Extensions Policy (see the end of this syllabus), all assignments and exams must be submitted by the course deadline which appears later in this syllabus. No extensions may be taken or granted unless the student has submitted one or more assignments or exams in advance of the original deadline. In addition, extensions are subject to grade penalties and are limited to no more than 30 days from the date of the original deadline.

Please note that students are strongly encouraged to do their work as the course progresses rather than waiting for the days or weeks before the deadline to do all of their work. It is also critically important that students realize that:

- 1. A grade of "Incomplete" is the same as an "F" and is a failing grade.
- 2. A grade of "Incomplete" will result in a reduction in the student's grade point average.

- 3. Student may have to pay to re-take or replace a course for which a grade of Incomplete is assigned.
- 4. Two consecutive Incompletes may subject the student to dismissal from the college under Crestpoint's chronic incomplete policy.
- 5. Students with a GPA of under 2.0 are not eligible to receive federal financial aid and cannot graduate until their GPA is at or above 2.0.

If a student is having trouble completing the course, the student is strongly encouraged to contact his or her student mentor or teacher as early as possible.

Please note also that:

- A student who receives an incomplete on his or her first course may be dismissed from Crestpoint.

A student who fails to complete at least one weekly interaction during the first 14 days of the course will automatically be withdrawn from the course per Crestpoint policy. The student may re-join the class by prompt notification to Crestpoint and by prompt completion of an interaction shortly thereafter.

INSTRUCTORS:

The instructor and grader for this course is:

- Dede Sandler (dede@crestpoint.edu)

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

This course is designed to introduce our students to the basic concepts of criminal law. The course will outline the definitions and elements of the common law crimes against persons, crimes against property, and the various legal defenses available to criminal defendants. In addition, certain topics in criminal procedure will be covered to highlight the constitutional safeguards and procedures involved from arrest through trial.

COURSE OBJECTIVES:

At the completion of this course, the student will be able to:

- Describe the necessary elements for the prosecution of any crime.
- Determine whether a crime has been committed based on a fact pattern, and, if so, which crime has been committed.
- Describe the basic elements of the various common law crimes.
- Research and apply the elements of a crime in various state or federal jurisdictions.

- Research criminal codes and apply the elements of a crime in various state or federal jurisdictions.
- Prepare a memorandum with regard to a question of criminal law in a hypothetical fact pattern.
- Describe and apply the various defenses that are available under the criminal laws of different jurisdictions.
- Apply federal and/or state sentencing guidelines (where applicable) to convictions.

READING ASSIGNMENTS:

All reading assignments refer to the Crestpoint courseware, including the interactions attached to each subchapter. Cases and/or statutes that are specifically mentioned in the syllabus are optional reading. The texts of these cases and/or statutes may be accessed directly from the courseware. In addition to the assigned courseware and cases, students should familiarize themselves with the various legal documents listed for each lecture. These documents can be found on the "Slides and Documents" page on the Crestpoint student website. Some, but not all, of these documents will be discussed in class. Reading assignments for each class should be completed prior to the class where possible.

In addition to the courseware's electronic form, you may also view/print out a PDF version of the courseware that includes:

- 1) The courseware
- 2) All lectures slides
- 3) Selected sections from the Model Penal Code
- 4) Selected sections from the United States Sentencing Guidelines

See the course materials page for the link.

There are also video lessons recommended throughout this syllabus. There are highly recommended to assist with learning the course materials.

School Virtual Library

All Crestpoint students are encouraged to take advantage of the Crestpoint virtual library, which can be accessed from the "course materials" page on the student menu or directly through this link: https://crestpoint.edu/Students/VirtualLibrary.aspx.

The Crestpoint virtual library gives students access to Lexis Advance, which is one of the premier online legal databases in the world. It is expected that most legal research can and should be done through Lexis Advance. Online tutorials in the use of Lexis Advance are available on the lower right portion of the default login screen for Lexis Advance.

Crestpoint students also have access to Computer Assisted Legal Instruction (CALI) lessons. Unless assigned in the course syllabus, these are optional, but can be very helpful.

WRITTEN ASSIGNMENTS:

At the outset of the course, two assignments will be posted on the "assignments and exams" page. The 2 assignments will cumulatively count for 30% of the student's grade for the course.

Please compose your answers to assignments on your own computer, remembering to save your work frequently. Once your assignment is complete, please submit by uploading it pursuant to the directions on the "Assignments and Exams" page within the Crestpoint student site. Assignments may be submitted as PDF files, Microsoft Word documents, Open Office documents or PowerPoint presentations.

Each submitted assignment will be graded on the following scale:

- 4 Excellent
- 3 Good
- 2 Satisfactory
- 1 Poor
- 0 Not acceptable (must resubmit)

(Half-points may also be awarded in assignment grading.)

Please see the "Assignment Grading Rubric" (the next page of this syllabus) for more detailed information as to how assignments are graded and the key elements of assignments that instructors look for when grading assignments.

In addition to a grade, students will receive written feedback from the instructor on their assignments, where appropriate.

For more information on assignments, please see the Crestpoint Student Handbook.

To the extent possible, it is recommended that students complete the assignments as the course proceeds rather than waiting until after the course ends.

Assignment Grading Rubric

Factor	4 (Excellent)	3 (Good)	2 (Satisfactory)	I (Poor)	0 (no credit)
Thoroughness	Answers all questions		Answers most of the		Makes little or no
	in the exercise	questions in the	questions in the	many of the	reasonable effort to
	completely and in the			questions in the	answer the questions
		completely and/or		exercise but does	posed in the
		not in the		make some	assignment.
				reasonable effort to do so.	
Demonstrates	Response	Response		Response	Response demonstrates
Understanding	demonstrates a	demonstrates an			a very poor
of the Assignment			understanding of the		understanding of the
and has come to an		exercise and comes		exercise but shows a	,
appropriate conclusion	exercise and the student has justified	to a conclusion.		high level of confusion on the part	presented by the
Conclusion	and enunciated an			of the student. The	assignment.
	appropriate		,	student's conclusion,	
	conclusion.		, , ,	if any, is not	
	conclusion.			supported by the rest	
				of the essay.	
Documentation/	Student has cited at	Student has cited		Student has cited	Student has not cited
Legal research (note:	least two excellent	one excellent source	appropriate sources	poor or	any legal authorities or
For assignments,		or two or more		inappropriate	has cited authorities
sources should be		good sources but		authorities or has	that are irrelevant.
those obtained	11 1 /	has missed at least		failed to establish the	
through legal	11 1	one excellent	good sources but	relevance of the	
research; for exam		source. Sources are	has done a poor job		
essays, legal		integrated well in	of integrating them.	she has cited.	
principles learned in class or the	integrated.	the assignment.			
courseware is					
sufficient.)					
Organization	Essay is organized	Essay is well	Essay shows some	Essay is poorly	Student's essay is in
- · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				organized and is very	
		is coherent, though		difficult to follow.	reasonable attempt to
	understand where	may not flow freely.	follow. The essay is	The student did not	organize the essay
	/	Different		appropriately	coherently.
		components of the	should be. Essay may		
		essay are broken up		and did not properly	
		appropriately.		organize the essay.	
	made in the essay.		without using new		
	Separate paragraphs are used for separate		paragraphs.		
	ideas.				
Critical Thinking and		Shows good critical	Shows adequate	Shows minimal	Shows no effort at
Analysis			•	critical thinking and	critical thinking or
[analysis. The student			analysis. The	analysis. The student's
		are well argued and		student's arguments	points make no sense.
	cited law to the facts			are weak and	
	of the given case in a	, ,		unconvincing.	
1	clear and convincing		exceptionally		
	manner.		convincing.		

Credit may also be taken off for poor spelling or grammar.

Weekly Discussions

At the outset of the course, a weekly discussion question will be posted on the "Assignments and Exams" page. The 6 discussions will cumulatively count for 30% of the student's grade for the course. These assignments have a due date specified in the assignment and in the assignment title. Answers submitted after the due date will incur a grade penalty.

Please compose your answers to assignments on your own computer, remembering to save your work frequently. Once your assignment is complete, please submit by uploading it pursuant to the directions on the "Assignments and Exams" page within the Crestpoint student site. Assignments may be submitted as PDF files, Microsoft Word documents, Open Office documents or PowerPoint presentations.

Weekly discussions will be graded on the same 0-4 scale as the research assignments.

In addition to a grade, students will receive written feedback from the instructor on their assignments, where appropriate.

To the extent possible, it is highly recommended and encouraged that students stay as current as possible with the weekly discussions and submit them in a manner that allows you to practice as you learn the material. Submitting the weekly discussion beyond the given deadlines will result in a grade penalty.

EXAMINATIONS:

Examinations will be posted on the Crestpoint website when indicated on the syllabus of the course. The examinations consist entirely of "short essay" questions. The 2 examinations will cumulatively count for 40% of the student's course grade.

Examinations are non-cumulative; they cover only the material that was covered since the previous examination. The instructor will provide specific information regarding the content of each examination, as the examination time approaches.

All examinations are timed. A student may begin the examination any time after it is posted to the Crestpoint website. Once begun, the examination must be completed within 4 hours.

Examinations will be graded on a conventional 0-100 scale. The number of points each question is worth is equal to 100 divided by the number of questions on the examination.

For each examination question, full credit will be awarded if the student:

- 1) Correctly identifies the legal issue(s) presented by the question
- 2) Applies the correct law to the legal issue(s) presented (note: full credit may also be awarded if the student's answer comes to an "incorrect" conclusion if the student

bases his or her analysis on correct law and supports his or her position in a convincing manner)

3) Presents his or her answer in a clear and understandable manner

The amount of partial credit to be awarded, if any, for an answer that is not complete and correct is at the discretion of the instructor. Instructors are instructed to award partial credit that is proportional to the level of knowledge and legal skill displayed by the student in answering the question.

Please note that, even if not directly stated in the question, you must give reasons for your answers to open ended questions. One word answers such as "yes" or "no" or answers that merely restate the question without explaining the answer given will not be credited.

The following factors are generally NOT taken into account in grading examinations:

<u>Legal research</u>: Although research is a key component of assignments, examinations are graded on the student's knowledge of the legal concepts taught and do not require independent research.

<u>Grammar and spelling</u> (unless they impact the ability of the graded to understand the student's answer): Although these are essential skills for a paralegal, examinations test legal knowledge and ability to apply the skills learned, not necessarily the ability to write professional legal memoranda (assignments test this skill). In addition, because exams are taken under time constraints, we would rather see the students spend their time spotting legal issues and applying applicable law than on proofreading answers for typos and grammar mistakes.

For more information on examinations, please see the *Crestpoint Student Handbook*.

To the extent possible, it is recommended that students complete the exams as the course proceeds rather than waiting until after the course ends.

CRESTPOINT ACADEMIC ADVISOR

Each Crestpoint student is assigned an academic advisor upon enrollment. Your academic advisor is a resource that can and should be drawn on if you need academic assistance. This includes advice on studying, help with assignments, general academic questions, etc. You should have received an email from your academic advisor upon enrollment. If you have not received such an email or do not know who your academic advisor is, please contact Anne Lewis at anne@crestpoint.edu.

CRESTPOINT PLAGIARISM POLICY

All work done by Crestpoint students on assignments, examinations and research projects is expected to be their own work. Quoting other sources as part of analyzing a subject is desirable and necessary in many cases. However, when other sources are quoted or used, they must be properly attributed to the original sources. This applies to direct quotes of sources and to paraphrasing other sources or using ideas obtained from other sources even if the exact text it not used.

Plagiarism means using the materials of others without appropriately citing the source and is an academic offense.

Under the Crestpoint plagiarism policy, a student may not, as part of any assignment or exam submission:

- 1) Quote any text from any other source without:
 - a) putting quotation marks around the quoted material;

ΔND

- b) appropriately citing the source of the quote.
- 2) Pass off the work of another as his or her own, even if the student does not directly quote from the other source.

Please note that the Crestpoint plagiarism policy does not mean that you cannot quote language from the courseware, textbook or slides as part of an answer to a question on an exam. These are resources that are meant to be used on an exam when applied in an appropriate manner. However, quoting any source *without attribution* is plagiarism.

In addition, Crestpoint students may not share their completed work, answer keys, or sample answers which they have obtained by any method with any other student. Students may NOT upload any sample answer (whether written by themselves or any other person) to any publicly available website or database. Any student who uploads a sample assignment or exam answer to a publicly available website or database shall be disciplined in a manner to be determined by the school Dean, up to and including expulsion from the college.

Policy on the use of Artificial Intelligence in the completion of academic assessments

AI (artificial intelligence) resources such as ChatGPT and Bard can be useful in a number of ways. However, it can also be abused, as students may be tempted to use AI-generated content in place of the work needed to build the skills necessary to complete their academic programs.

Students are expected to submit substantially their own work product. To the extent student assessments are copied and pasted from AI platforms, they must be framed by quotation marks or block quotes with appropriate citations, just as though they were citing any other Internet

source. Submitting work copied from AI sources without attribution is plagiarism and will be dealt with accordingly.

Submitting content generated by AI with proper quoting and attribution is not plagiarism. However, heavy use of AI-generated content in an assessment may show lack of original work and grading will reflect that. At the grader's discretion, assignments composed substantially of AI-generated content will be awarded little or no academic credit.

For more information regarding the Crestpoint Plagiarism Policy, penalties and due process rights where plagiarism is alleged, please see the Crestpoint Plagiarism Policy at:

https://crestpoint.edu/pages/PlagiarismPolicy.pdf

WEEKLY INTERACTION REQUIREMENT

To ensure that all students are involved and participating in the course as the course moves forward, each student enrolled in this course must, at least once during each week, either:

1) Attend a live lecture and take and pass a short quiz given during class (where applicable)

OR

2) Submit at least one assignment

OR

3) Take at least one examination

OR

- 4) Answer a weekly "interaction" question or questions that will be posted on the
- "Assignments and Exams" page.

The weekly "interaction" question(s) will be straightforward and will cover material covered in class each week. Answers to these questions should be short (typically 1-3 sentences) and to the point.

The student's response (which is necessary only if the student does not attend a live lecture or take an exam or submit an assignment in the given week) will be graded on a pass/fail basis. The interaction questions will be posted no later than Monday of each week and must be answered on or before the following Sunday.

Any student who does not fulfill this requirement during a given week may receive a reduction in his or her over-all grade of 2 percentage points (10 raw points).

Please also note that a student who does not fulfill an interaction during the first two weeks of a course will be automatically withdrawn from that course, as it will be assumed that the student who does not interact during the first two weeks has no intention of attending the course. The student may re-join the class by prompt notification to Crestpoint and by prompt completion of an interaction shortly thereafter.

If an emergency prevents attendance in this period, please email Academic Support (academicsupport@crestpoint.edu) as soon as possible.

Fulfilling the weekly interaction requirement is particularly important for students receiving financial aid. Federal regulations require the school to withdraw students from financial aid who go 14 consecutive days without fulfilling an interaction requirement and to return any outstanding financial aid money to the government unless the student interacts with the school prior to the time that the withdrawal is completed. If you are unable to fulfill a weekly interaction requirement, it is critical that you stay in contact with the school so that other arrangements can be made.

COURSE GRADES

The following formula will be used to calculate final grades

Cumulative exam scores + (cumulative weekly discussion x 6.25) + (assignment points x 18.75) = raw score

Because exams are worth up to 100 points and assignments up to 4 points each, the maximum raw score is 500. 10 raw points (2% of the raw point total) may be deducted for each missed weekly interaction. 3 raw points (0.6% of the raw point total) are deducted for <u>each day</u> of an extension beyond the course deadline.

Extra credit may be available for certain in-class activities, high class participation and high message board participation, as may be announced by the instructor. Penalties for missed weekly interactions and/or for extensions are applied at the discretion of the instructor and/or the administration of Crestpoint.

The following conversion chart is then applied based on the total raw points you have earned:

>470	=	A+
440-469	=	Α
415-439	=	A-
390-414	=	B+
360-389	=	В
335-459	=	B-
310-334	=	C+
280- 309	=	С
255-279	=	C-
225-254	=	D
<225	=	F

OPTIONAL STUDY SESSIONS

In addition to the 15 classes listed below, instructors and/or graders may run 1 or 2 additional study sessions to discuss assignments and/or the course materials. Attendance in and participation at these sessions is optional and they will be recorded for those who cannot make it to the live sessions.

All examinations and assignments are due no later than <u>Sunday</u>, <u>September 21, 2025</u> at 11:59 PM <u>EASTERN TIME</u>; that's <u>EASTERN</u> time. That means 8:59 PM Pacific time, 9:59 PM Mountain time, 10:59 PM Central time, etc.

<u>Please see the end of this syllabus for a note on Crestpoint course extensions</u> policy!

Lecture and reading assignments schedule

Class 1

Monday, June 30, 2025 at 5 PM Eastern time

In the first class, we will go through an overview of criminal law and the American justice system. We will introduce and discuss the various reasons for the existence of criminal laws and the various rationales that exist behind punishing criminals. We will also discuss the framework of the criminal justice system, including some of the safeguards that are put into place to try to limit the chances of an innocent person being convicted.

Courseware Reading:

None

Cases and Statutes:

None

Class 2 PRE-RECORDED

This class will begin with a focus on the basic legal definitions of "act" and "intent" that form the basis for all crimes. We will then take an in depth look at the various common law and Model Penal Code subcategories of intent and how they relate to different classes of crime. Finally, we will briefly examine the requirement of concurrence between the criminal act and the requisite intent.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 1: Basic Elements of a Crime

- A. Actus Reus
- B. Mens Rea Common Law
- C. Mens Rea Model Penal Code
- D. Causation

Cases and Statutes:

Robinson v. California

This case, grounded in the Eighth Amendment's clause prohibiting "cruel and unusual punishment," held that no person can be punished for a crime unless he or she committed a criminal act. This forms the foundation for the discussion of what constitutes an actus reus for criminal law purposes. When reading this case, think about the purpose of the actus reus rule and when and if inaction can ever lead to criminal liability.

Suggested Videos:

https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/actus-reus-the-physical-act-of-committing-a-crime https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/mens-rea-the-criminal-state-of-mind

Class 3 (Assignment/ Lexis walkthrough)

Monday, July 7, 2025

This class will consist of a Lexis tutorial/ assignment walkthrough. The instructor will use a research assignment from a past or current course to demonstrate the manner in which an assignment should be researched and composed.

The instructor will walk the students through the various Lexis databases and explain to students how to most efficiently use the Lexis system to complete research assignments. Various general aspects of navigating Lexis, including Shepardizing, seeking and finding appropriate search databases, getting a document by citation, etc., may be explored.

The Instructor will also discuss how to most effectively plan, outline, organize and draft research assignments. Model answers and/or past student submissions may be used to illustrate what a "4" assignment looks like and how to compose one.

Class 4

Wednesday, July 9, 2025

This class will focus on the inchoate offenses (offense does not need to be completed) of solicitation, conspiracy and attempt. Specifically, we will focus on the basic elements of each crime and the scope of criminal liability for each crime. We will then examine the various kinds of conspiracy and the different ramifications that each kind of conspiracy has on prosecuting of the defendants. Finally, we will review the various defenses a criminal defendant has at his disposal, specifically focusing on the doctrine of withdrawal.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 2: Inchoate Crimes

- A. Solicitation
- B. Conspiracy
- C. Attempt

Cases and Statutes:

United States v. Jackson

How far in a plan to commit a robbery does one have to go to be considered to have gone beyond "mere preparation" to the realm of attempt? In this case, the prestigious Federal Second Circuit Court of Appeals (which covers, among others, New York State) takes up this issue. We will look at this case specifically to discuss the interaction between the punishment for attempt and what constitutes attempt. For example, would it be fair to punish attempt as severely as the completed crime, if you also hold that attempt can be accomplished by simply preparing to commit the crime?

United States v. Bruno

In this case, the same Second Circuit was called upon to illustrate where to draw the line between a series of smaller agreements and one massive conspiracy. How closely related to each other do the components of a conspiracy have to be for the entire enterprise to be considered to comprise one conspiracy? Because the ramifications of the existence of a conspiracy can mean so much in terms of trial and sentencing, this is an important case to read and discuss.

Class 5

Monday, July 14, 2025

In this class, we will begin our discussion of homicides. We will start with a general background look at the common law definition of homicide and segue into the modern and common law rules regarding the crime of murder. We will discuss the common law "malice aforethought" standard and the differences between first and second degree murder. We will also briefly focus on the death penalty and how it's applied in the United States today. Finally, we will discuss the felony-murder rule, pursuant to which intent to commit a felony that results in death can make the felon (actor) guilty of murder.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 3: Homicide

A. Classifications: Common Law and Modern Law

B. Murder

Commonwealth v. Malone

Sometimes, the best way to examine the limits of legal doctrines is to look at extreme circumstances and see how the applicable legal rules apply in those cases. Here, the common law definition of murder is put to the test to determine if it applies to a child who killed his friend during a game of Russian roulette. We will discuss the limits of the "malice aforethought" rule and how it applies to cases like this.

Suggested Videos:

https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/the-state-of-the-death-penalty-in-the-united-states https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/homicide-crimes-murder

Wednesday, July 16, 2025

Class 6

In this class, we will continue our discussion of homicide. We will also look at the lesser forms of homicide, including manslaughter and negligent homicide. We will look at the mitigating circumstances that reduce murder to voluntary manslaughter, the rules regarding involuntary manslaughter and when such a charge is appropriate.

Chapter 3: Homicide

- C. Voluntary Manslaughter
- D. Involuntary Manslaughter
- E. Legal Definitions of Life and Death

Cases and Statutes:

Commonwealth v. Redline

The felony-murder rule is a controversial rule to start with. The controversy becomes more complex when one must decide whether to apply it to cases in which one of the co-felons is the victim. This case discusses the extent of the felony-murder rule by analyzing the reasons for the rule and whether those apply to the "gray area" cases. While reading this case, think about whether the reasons for the rule itself are really all that compelling. Also, how far would you extend the rule if you were in charge of deciding how far to carry the felony-murder rule?

Suggested Videos:

https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/criminal-law-manslaughter-and-criminally-negligent-homicide

Assignment # 1 can be completed at this point.

Class 7

Monday, July 21, 2025

This class will focus on non-homicide crimes against persons. Specifically, we will focus on the basic elements of each crime as well as each crime's classification as either general intent or specific intent. We will look at crimes such as assault, kidnapping, and rape and comparable crimes existing under many modern criminal codes.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 4: Crimes against Persons

- A. Assault and Battery
- B. Mayhem

- C. Rape
- D. False Imprisonment
- E. Kidnapping

Cases and Statutes:

Tyson v. State

Aside from the obvious celebrity appeal of this case, boxer Mike Tyson's appeal of his rape conviction, there is also some interesting law analysis to be gleaned from this case. Consent is, of course, a defense against a charge of forcible rape. A requirement of this defense, of course, is that the mistake be reasonable. This case discusses what constitutes a reasonable mistake.

Documents for Review:

- Indictment -State

The midterm examination will be posted at this point.

Class 8

Wednesday, July 23, 2025

This class will continue our discussion of non-homicide crimes with a discussion of crimes against the "home." Specifically, we will look at the crimes of burglary and arson. We will focus on the common law definitions of those crimes and discuss how those definitions have changed over the years and how various states treat those crimes today.

Courseware reading:

Chapter 5: Crimes Against the Home

- A. Burglary
- B. Arson

Cases and Statutes

Brown v. State

This case discusses the level of "malice" required to sustain a conviction for arson. The crime of arson is one of only two crimes that requires "malice" under the common law (the other being murder). Is burning a building for the purpose of saving on demolition costs considered "malice" to the extent that is required for an arson conviction? Read this case and find out.

Documents for Review:

- Indictment – Burglary– State

Suggested Videos:

 $\underline{\text{https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/theft-crimes-a-survey-under-the-common-law-and-modern-codes}}$

https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/burglary

https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/criminal-law-the-crime-of-arson

Class 9

Monday, July 28, 2025

This class will focus on crimes against property. Specifically, we will explore types of common law crimes that exist under what today would be the broad heading called "theft."

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 6: Crimes Against Property

- A. Larceny
- B. Embezzlement
- C. False Pretenses

Cases and Statutes:

People v. Caridis

This case is an oldie (1915), but it deals with an interesting issue. Can a person be convicted of larceny for stealing a winning lottery ticket when the lottery itself was illegal? This case becomes even more important because of the modern trend to assign sentences for theft crimes that are based on the amount of money stolen. Thus, assigning values to objects without inherent value becomes a more important question.

Suggested Videos:

https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/white-collar-crime

Class 10

Wednesday, July 30, 2025

This class will continue our focus on crimes against property. We will look at other "theft" type crimes that involve something other than simply stealing. We will discuss robbery, extortion (including blackmail), and receiving stolen property.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 6: Crimes Against Property

- D. Robbery
- E. Extortion
- F. Receiving Stolen Property

Cases and Statutes:

Lear v. State

Robbery is the stealing of property by force or violence or by the threat of force or violence. This case discusses what constitutes force. We will analyze this case to determine the applicability of robbery to pickpocketing when the victim can't feel the theft happening.

Documents for Review:

 $\hbox{- Indictment} - Robbery - State \\$

Suggested Videos:

https://lawshelf.com/videos/entry/the-crimes-of-blackmail-and-extortion

Class 11

Monday, August 4, 2025

After having gone through the various crimes and their elements, we will devote this class to discussing various documents that are important to the criminal investigation and trial process. We will discuss a variety of documents, including criminal complaints, case information statements, extradition orders, search and arrest warrants, etc. For each document, we will discuss its role, how to draft one for a particular case and points to keep in mind when using the document.

Courseware Reading:

None

Cases and Statutes:

None

Documents for Review:

- Documents for Review:
- Misdemeanor Complaint State
- Criminal Complaint State
- Criminal Summons State
- Miscellaneous Felony Documents State
- Criminal Case Information Statement
- Suspension of Prosecution Order of Community Service State
- Extradition Order State

Class 12

Wednesday, August 6, 2025

This class will focus on the scope of accomplice liability. Specifically, we will concentrate on the acts and intentions required to convict a third party as an accomplice. We will also review how far an accomplice's guilt extends. Finally we will focus on the various defenses that a criminal defendant has at his disposal.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 7: Scope of Criminal Liability

- A. Accomplices: Common Law Classifications
- B. Accomplice Mens Rea and Actus Reus
- C. Scope of Criminal Liability for an Accomplice
- D. Defenses to Accomplice Criminal Liability

Cases and Statutes:

Hicks v. United States

In this case, the United States Supreme Court considered the question of just how much "encouragement" one must give to the perpetrator of the crime in order to be considered an accomplice to that crime. Although the guilt or innocence of an accomplice often turns on

questions of fact which are decided by juries, the Supreme Court here ruled on what instructions and guidance a jury must be given in making this determination.

Class 13

Monday, August 11, 2025

In this class, we will begin our examination of the numerous defenses that can be mounted during a criminal trial. We will look at the defense of infancy (where a minor commits a crime) and discuss the juvenile criminal justice system. We will also pay special attention to the insanity defense and the different tests jurisdictions use to determine insanity. We will also focus of the related defenses of diminished capacity and intoxication.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 8: Defenses

- A. Infancy
- B. Insanity
- C. Diminished Capacity
- D. Intoxication

Cases and Statutes:

State v. Pike

This case comes from the New Hampshire Supreme Court. The state of New Hampshire is the only state in the Union to have instituted the most lenient insanity test. Still, this is a great case to read because it provides an excellent summary of the common law rules regarding insanity (as they existed in the 19th century and still for the most part exist today). Also, the court weaves in an interesting discussion of the relationship between the insanity defense and the malice aforethought and premeditation elements of common law murder.

Class 14

Wednesday, August 13, 2025

Tonight, we will continue our discussion of defenses. We will turn to the defense of mistake and analyze to what extent the old maxim "ignorance of the law is no excuse" is true. Then we will turn to some other defenses that existed under the common law and still exist today, including necessity, duress, entrapment, and consent.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 8: Defenses

E. Mistake of Fact.

- F. Mistake of Law
- G. Necessity and Duress
- H. Entrapment
- I. Consent

Cases and Statutes:

Cheek v. United States

It is one of the oldest sayings in the "book" that "ignorance of the law is no excuse." However, some crimes, such as tax evasion, stipulate that ignorance of the law is a defense. However, what if a person unreasonably but honestly thinks that he or she does not have to pay taxes and thus does not do so? Is that a crime of tax evasion? In analyzing this case, we will reapply some of the concepts regarding *mens rea* that we discussed earlier in the course.

Williams v. State

This fascinating Maryland case discusses the limits of the "necessity" defense. Here, the defendant was charged with burglary. He raised the defense that he had to burglarize the house, literally, to save his life because of debts he had accumulated to people who would not take kindly to his failure to pay. Should a court deny him the necessity defense because it was his fault that he was in the predicament in the first place or should the court consider saving one's life to be an adequate reason to commit a burglary, even if the burglar contributed to his predicament? See here what the Maryland court thought and see if you agree with its reasoning.

Assignment # 2 can be completed at this point.

Class 15 Monday, August 18, 2025

The final class will continue our discussion of defenses. We will spend most of the class on the defense of self-defense and related defenses. We will discuss when one may use deadly force in self-defense and when one must retreat before using deadly force. We will finish up with some other defenses, including protection of property and use of force to effectuate and arrest.

Courseware Reading:

Chapter 8: Defenses

- J. Self Defense
- K. Defense of Others
- L. Protection of Property

M. Effectuating Arrests and Preventing Crime

Cases and Statutes

State v. Anderson

Self-defense is a complete defense that is applicable to almost any crime. In this case, the Connecticut Supreme Court analyzes whether this defense applies to taking preventative measures such as carrying a gun. We will relate this case to the famous "subway gunman" Bernard Goetz case in which a previous crime victim shot four potential assailants on a New York subway train.

The final examination will be posted at this point.

All examinations and assignments are due no later than <u>Sunday</u>, <u>September 21, 2025</u>, at 11:59 PM <u>EASTERN TIME</u>; That's EASTERN time. That means 8:59 PM Pacific time, 9:59 PM Mountain time, 10:59 PM Central time, etc.

CRESTPOINT EXTENSIONS POLICY

- 1) Extensions that conform to the rules below may be requested from the "Assignments and Exams" page on the Crestpoint student website.
- 2) No extensions are possible unless the student has first submitted at least one assignment or examination by the course deadline.
 - Students must submit a legitimate assignment or exam to qualify for an extension. Submitting any assignment for another course or a blank document as a placeholder does not qualify you for an extension.
 - Extensions taken based on placeholder assignments or blank exams may be removed.
- 3) The maximum possible extension allowed under the Crestpoint system are as follows:
 - A student who has submitted one assignment or exam may take an extension of up to seven (7) days.
 - A student who has submitted two assignments or exams (or any combination) may take an extension of up to seven (7) additional days (14 days total).
 - A student who has submitted three assignments or exams (or any combination) may take an extension of one (1) additional day (15 days total).
 - No extensions of more than fifteen (15) days beyond the deadline are possible for any reason at all.

- 4) Requested extensions are granted automatically. It is not necessary to give any reason for the request. However, for each day of extension you request, you will be penalized 3 raw points (of 500 that determine your final grade). This accounts for 0.6% of your course grade, per day of extension. This is necessary to compensate for the advantage that students who take more time to do their work enjoy over those who complete their work on time. This also means that a short extension (e.g., a day or two) is unlikely to affect your grade, but a long extension (e.g., two weeks) is guaranteed to affect your grade.
- 5) The penalty referenced in Paragraph 4 may be waived by the dean in extreme cases only. Extreme cases include circumstances beyond the control of the student that caused the student to be unable to complete work for a significant period of time. Circumstances such as being busy at work or at home, vacations, family occasions or power or internet outages lasting a few days, are foreseeable life circumstances. Extensions may be taken for these reasons (or, for that matter, for any reason at all), but the grade penalty discussed above applies. However, please note that other than for unforeseeable hardships, the course deadline cannot be extended beyond 15 days.