

Copyrights Class 4

Intellectual Property (IP)

Background of Copyright

- giving power to printers
- giving power to authors

"The Congress shall have the Power ... To promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries."

Constitution, Article I, Section 8, Clause 8.

Intellectual Property (IP)

- Goal of copyright protection:
 - If we're protecting printers, absolute monopoly is great.
 - If we're protecting authors, maybe the protection should last forever...
 - Mostly, it's a combination of those two.

"Congress shall make no law ... abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press..."

Constitution, First Amendment (Bill of Rights).

American Copyright Law

- Promote the Progress of Science:
 - The Copyright Act of 1790
 - It was revised many times, mostly to reflect changes in technology.
 - "...maps, charts and books..."
 - The Copyright Act of 1909 (a tad more current) ©
 - Some works are still affected by this!!
 - The Copyright Act of 1976
 - Many works created before the 1976 Act still fall under the 1909 Act.

American Copyright Law

- Feist Publications, Inc., v. Rural <u>Telephone Service Co.,</u> 499 U.S. 340 (1991).
 - (can no longer copyright facts...only <u>creative</u> aspects)



Copyrights

- The main concept underlying copyright protection is a very basic idea: preventing people from making copies of things without permission.
- It's very difficult these days to prevent copying because we've gone far beyond books printed on paper.
- What should be protected is not the same as what the law actually protects.
- Very often it's a matter of arguing that a particular form of expression constitutes a 'work' and *should* be protected!



Copyrights

- A moment to discuss citing:
 - 17 USCS §117 → United States Code (USC), Title 17, Section 117
 - We're referring to the Copyright Act of 1976, which is codified in the United States Code, Title 17.
 - We'll just call it "Section 117" or "§117"

Distinguishing ©s from Rights in Other Property

- §202 → the difference between owning a copyright and owning a chattel.
- Ex: <u>Forward v. Thorogood</u>, 985 F.2d 604 (1993).
 - (ownership of tape, even original master, does not confer or prove © ownership)

Copyright Law Does Not Protect Ideas

- There's a difference between intangible property and a mere idea.
 - Ex. The idea of a song involving stuttering cannot be copyrighted. But the stuttering song itself, once it's put into a tangible medium of expression?
 - Buh-buh BAD to the Bone!
 - Mu-mu-mu-MY Sharona!

Copyright Law Does Not Protect Ideas

- Quick differentiation:
 - <u>Copyright</u> ownership attaches as soon as you (for ex.) draw the picture on your paper, even if no one ever sees it. Register? Further protection.
 - <u>Trademark</u> mere creation doesn't give protection, but public use does.
 - <u>Patent</u> must register! Then comes protection. If the application passes, the patent is granted.

- §102(a) lists the works of authorship that can receive copyright protection:
 - literary works
 - musical works, including any accompanying words
 - dramatic works, including any accompanying music
 - pantomimes and choreographic works
 - pictorial, graphic, and sculptural works
 - motion pictures and other audiovisual works
 - sound recordings
 - architectural works
- You cannot protect an idea to build a structure with arches and towers and a moat and pillars, etc. but a drawing of this structure could be protected.

- §101 provides definitions (ex. What is an "architectural work," what is an "audiovisual work")
 - This section is very important because it is updated constantly. Rarely does federal law get updated as often as this section of the Copyright Act, but <u>the more</u> <u>technology advances</u>, the greater the need for explanation <u>of the technology</u>, and the regulation of that technology.
- §102 says that copyright protection will attach to an original work of authorship fixed in any tangible medium of expression.
 - "Fixed..." computer programs, live television broadcasts, live radio broadcasts...

 §113 talks about the scope of exclusive rights in pictorial, graphic, and sculptural works.
Differentiating between statues and a face, or some sort of picture, carved into the side of a building.

http://www.copyright.gov/title17/

- Characters can they be protected?
 - Possibility of characters being protected separately from (and in addition to) the movie/book/comic book in which they appear.
 - Ex: Rocky Balboa, and the other characters (AdriAAAAAN!!!) in the movie can be protected under Copyright law in addition to (and separate from) the copyright in the story itself.
- In <u>Walt Disney Productions v. Air Pirates</u>, the court decided that the same is true for Mickey Mouse and Donald Duck.

- "A comic book character, which has physical as well as conceptual qualities, is more likely to contain some unique elements of expression," and is therefore worthy of receiving copyright protection.
 - Ex. Archie, Jughead, Veronica, Betty, Reggie
 - Ex. Alvin, Simon, Theodore
 - Ex. Harry, Ron, Hermione, Dumbledore, Hagrid