

TORTS AND PERSONAL INJURY

MISREPRESENTATION (FRAUD)

1) Material misrepresentation

- Must be a factor in the transaction
- Distinguish "mere puffing"

2) Defendant had knowledge of its falsity ("scienter")

3) It was intended to induce reliance

If the defendant thinks that it won't have an impact on the transaction,
 there is no fraud

4) It did induce justifiable reliance

- The plaintiff must have actually believed the statement and must have used it in the decision-making process
- The reliance must be reasonable

5) Economic damages

 Something must go wrong with the goods or transaction that is related to the false statement

Torts Class 14 Slides

INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD

- Same basic idea as defamation, but applies to a business context.
- Elements are same as other types of defamation:
 - 1) False statement
 - 2) Disparaged plaintiff's property or business interests
 - 3) The statement caused plaintiff to suffer harm
 - 4) Economic damages

INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD (CONT.)

• 2 types:

Slander of Title

- Defendant claims that the plaintiff lacks title of the property in which he is dealing
- (e.g., "He doesn't own that property he's trying to sell or lease out.")

Trade Libel

- Defendant makes false statements as to the quality of plaintiff's goods so that customers will be discouraged from buying them
- (e.g., "Don't buy from her, she rips customers off.")

INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONS - INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT

• Elements:

- 1) Existence of a valid contractual relationship
- 2) Defendant knows of that relationship
- 3) Intentional interference with the contract
- 4) That **leads to breach or termination** of the contract
- 5) Damages (economic)
 - i.e., the plaintiff must show that the breach harmed him or her financially

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

• Elements:

- 1) Institution of a criminal proceedings
 - This can be done by complaining to the police or the DA. Of course,
 only a DA can actually file a criminal charge and prosecute someone
- 2) Termination in plaintiff's favor on the merits, not because of a technicality like a search and seizure problem
- 3) No probable cause to believe plaintiff did commit the crime
 - It can't be reasonable for the defendant to have actually thought that plaintiff committed the crime. If he did reasonably think plaintiff was guilty, it is not a tort, no matter his evil intentions
- 4) Improper purpose in bringing the charge
- 5) Damages
 - Judges and prosecutors are immune from this tort

Torts Class 14 Slides

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION (CONT.)

- Abuse of Process
 - Same as malicious prosecution, but in a non-criminal charge context
 - Example: suing someone just to harass him or her



QUIZ TIME