Class 2 Criminal Law

Actus Reus (guilty act) Requirement

- For any person to be convicted of a crime (including traffic violations) the person must have committed some kind of voluntary act that led to the crime.
- This means that the defendant must have actually done something that led to the crime under his own free will.
 - Doing something <u>negligently</u> or where the person <u>should have known</u> of a danger that would come from the act <u>is enough</u> to be considered a crime.

Actus Reus (guilty act) Requirement (cont.)

- Thus, a person cannot be punished for having a seizure at the wheel of his car if it was involuntary; but he can be punished for getting behind the wheel the car when he knew that the seizure was a substantial risk.
- The requirement for actus reus is very small; you don't have to voluntarily do the crime; you just have to voluntarily do the act that leads to the crime.
 - e.g., Kansas v. Baker, 11 Kan. App. 2d 4 (1985)
- Under the Eighth Amendment, a protected group may not be punished

Robinson v. California, 370 U.S. 660 (1962)

Omissions

- A failure to act is generally not considered a criminal act.
 - Most states in the U.S. do not have a "Good Samaritan" law.
- Exceptions: an omission is an actus reus if:
 - 1. there is a **familial relationship** between the parties
 - 2. there is **special relationship** between the parties in which one party is responsible for the safety of another
 - 3. there is a **contractual obligation** on the part of one person to protect the other
 - 4. the person's rescue efforts cause other that causes others to abandon their rescue attempts
 - 5. defendant places victim in harm's way; the defendant has a duty to rescue
 - 6. there is a **statutory duty** to act

Mens Rea (guilty mind) Requirement

- General rule: A person must have some level of malevolent (malicious) intent or at least some level of negligence to be convicted of a crime
- Types of mental states necessary to constitute a crime:
 - 1. **Specific Intent**: Intending and desiring the specific result to result
 - (e.g., robbery)
 - 2. General Intent/ Malice: Intentionally acting in a manner that is likely to cause the illegal result, while not necessarily wishing for the result
 - (e.g., arson)
 - 3. **Recklessness**: Knowing the risk entailed by the action while not knowing that the illegal result will happen; consciously ignoring the risk
 - (e.g., involuntary manslaughter)



Criminal Law Class 2

Mens Rea (guilty mind) Requirement (cont.)

- 4. **Negligence**: Being unreasonable in failing to perceive a risk
 - (e.g., Criminally Negligent Homicide)
- 5. Strict Liability: Applies to a violator regardless of intent
 - (e.g., speeding)
- Model Penal Code Formulation:
 - Purpose
 - Knowledge
 - Recklessness
 - Negligence

Criminal Law Class 2

Causation Requirement

A person cannot be guilty of committing a crime unless his or her actions were the legal cause of the resulting crime

Two elements for causation:

- 1. Causation in fact ("but-for" causation): Were it not for the act, the resulting crime would not have happened.
 - Exception: concurrent acts that combine to cause a result (both actors can be criminally liable)
- 2. Proximate (legal) causation: The resulting crime must be a foreseeable result of the act. If the chain of causation is too attenuated, there is no causation.

Causation Requirement (cont.)

- Intervening cause does not sever criminal liability if the resulting action is foreseeable.
 - e.g., doctor's malpractice causing victim to die; the person who caused the injury can still be convicted of homicide
- Superseding cause (intervening cause that's not foreseeable) does sever criminal liability
 - e.g., another person's criminal or intentional tortious act can be a superseding cause