

### Conflicts of Interest: Rules Regarding Former Clients (MRPC Rule 1.9)

- Rule: An attorney or firm cannot
  - oppose a former client OR represent another whose interests are 'materially adverse' to the former client,
  - in a substantially related matter
    - (i.e., if the matter is totally unrelated to the former client's representation, it's less likely that it would interfere with the current representation)
  - unless the former client consents after consultation
- Even if the client consents:
  - the attorney or firm must be super careful not to break the confidentiality rules and
  - must NOT use confidential information against the client in the subsequent proceeding
- Note: Conflicts can also arise because of familiar or friendship interests, not solely based on a professional relationship



### Conflicts of Interest: Rules Regarding Current Clients (MRPC Rule 1.7)

- A firm cannot represent any client in a proceeding that is materially adverse to another current client, unless
  - The firm *reasonably* believes that the representation will not adversely affect the relationship with the other client AND
  - BOTH clients consent after full consultation and disclosure
- The same applies to any proceeding that tends to compromise the loyalty or effectiveness of the representation of either client.
- "Reasonably believes" means that a reasonable person would assume that the firm would be capable of doing both jobs adequately and loyally under the circumstances



## QUIZ TIME!



# Conflicts of Interest: Imputed Disqualification

- This often applies where:
  - A new attorney joins a firm and brings a new client to the firm who is in conflict with a firm client
  - A new attorney with confidential information joins a firm which opposes the client about which the entering member has a conflict
  - A member of a firm takes on a client without knowing of a conflict involving a different member of the firm
- General Rule:
  - If one member of a firm is disqualified, the entire firm is disqualified.



## Imputed Disqualification Exception: The Ethical "Wall"

 Most states allow the firm to erect a "wall of separation" (also sometimes called a "Chinese Wall") between the person with the conflict and the people handling the case.

#### • This means that the person with the conflict must:

- be prevented from any contact with sensitive documents or materials related to the case,
- not help with the strategy planning for the case,
- avoid discussing the case with those working on it,
- make sure that no documents or information obtained through the representation is used against the other client,

MODEL RULE

 not obtain any portion of the fee that the firm earns from the representation.