CONTRACTS

Class 4



PAST CONSIDERATION AND MORAL OBLIGATION

 General rule: Past consideration is no good because it is inherently not bargained for.

• A "moral obligation" to pay has the same problem. It is not a bargained-for promise.



MORAL OBLIGATION - EXCEPTIONS

- However, past consideration + a moral obligation can lead to exceptions:
 - A promise to pay a debt **barred by the Statute of Limitations**
 - A promise to pay a debt that is voidable because of incapacity or some other excuse

CONTRACT

- A promise to pay a debt barred because it was discharged in bankruptcy
- Another exception based on moral obligation is that, under the Restatement of Contracts, promises to give charitable donations are enforceable without consideration.







ACCORD AND SATISFACTION

- This is where both parties agree to a substitute performance instead of the one originally called for.
- If the new performance is strictly less than the old one, apply the rules of modifications.
- **Executory Accord**: The type of performance is being changed.
 - **Rule**: If the substitute duty is breached, the aggrieved party can sue for **either** the new or the old performance.
- Novation: Change in the person who will render performance or to whom performance will be rendered.
 - **Rule**: If the new party breaches, only that party (not the original one who gave the duty over) can be sued for breach of contract.

PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

- This is a substitute for consideration!
- Rule:
 - If a person makes a **promise**
 - that he or she should reasonably expect to induce
 - detrimental reliance
 - and it does, in fact induce such detrimental reliance,
 - the promise will be enforceable
- but only to the extent as is necessary to prevent injustice (to the extent of the reliance).

