Business Law and Bankruptcy Class 9

1493661

93661B

Piercing the Corporate Veil

- Normally, directors, officers and shareholders are NOT personally liable for the debts of the corporation, including the contracts entered into by the corporation and the torts committed by the corporation.
- Sometimes, however, it becomes unfair to allow the parties to a corporation to escape liability.

2049366

Situations in which a Court will Pierce the Corporate Veil

Alter Ego:

- The company is small and closely held
- The entire driving force behind the corporation is a single person (or small group of people)
- The purpose of forming the corporation was the liability shield
- The conduct of the owner(s) has turned illegal or abusive
- Commingling of assets is a big factor

• Hiding Wrongful Activity:

- Crime or fraud committed by an officer, director or shareholder;
- in the name of the corporation;
- for the officer, director or shareholder's own personal benefit.
- Note: Standards vary from state to state in terms of how easily a court will pierce the corporate veil.

Liability of the Shareholder

(even when the corporate veil is not pierced)

- Close Corporations:
 - Employees' wages:
 - Some states force some large shareholders to be personally liable for wages of employees.
 - Many states give employees strong liens on corporation property to secure their wages in case of insolvency of the corporation.
 - Interested (conflicted) or fraudulent transactions
 - "entire fairness" standard

Liability of the Shareholder

• Open Corporations:

049366.

- Shareholder has to pay back:
 - Dividend or distribution that would **render the corporation insolvent** but only if the shareholder knew or should have known of the insolvency when the distribution was made!
 - "Watered" stock: If a person receives stock from the corporation for less than it fair value (for whatever reason), the shareholder becomes liable to the corporation for the difference between what was paid and what it's worth

The Shareholder Derivative Action

- •This is when a shareholder wants to **hold directors responsible** for an action that hurt the corporation.
- Problem: The directors make the decisions on behalf of the corporation, so how can the corporation bring a suit against the directors?
- Solution: The shareholders sue on behalf of the corporation

Parties to a Shareholder Derivative Action

18

- Plaintiffs: the complaining shareholders and the "corporation" itself
- **Defendants**: The complained against directors and the corporation again!



QUIZ TIME!

Shareholder Derivative Action Procedure

18

- First, the plaintiffs must present their claims to the board and give them time to fix them. (This requirement can be waived if the shareholders could show that this demand would have been futile.)
- If there are disinterested directors (directors who were not involved in the transaction about which the shareholder is complaining), they may form a committee to study the claims.
- The disinterested directors can adopt the suit on behalf of the corporation and continue the action against the other directors.
- If they do not, the shareholders press forward as any normal civil action.
- If the shareholders win the suit, remedial action is taken against the offending directors, and the shareholders who brought the suit will be compensated for his or her expenses in bringing the action.