Larceny – Common Law

- (1) Wrongful and fraudulent taking and
- (2) Carrying away of the
- (3) Personal Property of another, with the
- (4) Intent to permanently deprive the true owner of his/her property

Other points:

- Larceny is a *specific intent* crime!
- ➤ Good faith is a defense because it's a crime of "specific intent" (e.g. defendant really thought victim owed the money)
- > Slight movement enough to satisfy the "carrying away" element
- Stealing *symbolic property* is not larceny because it is not considered to be personal property (examples: deed to real property, stock certificate, patent certificate, title to a car)
- Larceny can't be committed if you have possession of the property, but can be committed if you have mere "custody" of the property.

Larceny by Trick: Taking the property with permission gained through a lie as to present circumstances.

Other Theft Crimes – Common Law – Part 1

Embezzlement:

Fraudulent appropriation to a person's own use or benefit of property or money entrusted to that person by another

- (1)Fraudulent conversion of the
- (2) personal property of another
- (3) with the intent to permanently deprive the true owner of such property
 - ➤ Only difference between **larceny** and **embezzlement** is the defendant himself. In embezzlement, the defendant has a duty of trust vis-à-vis the victim and originally had lawful possession of the property.

Other Theft Crimes – Common Law – Part 1 (cont.)

False Pretenses:

- (1) Obtaining title to
- (2) the property (not just personal) of another
- (3) by false representation
 - ➤ With larceny and embezzlement, there is *no change of title*, *just of possession*. With *false pretenses*, *there is a change in title*.
 - ➤ Differences between false pretenses and larceny by trick:
 - (1) If the victim does not transfer title, it cannot be false pretenses
 - (2) Larceny by trick must be done with a lie about the present right to the property. False pretenses can be done with any lie.
- All of these are **Specific Intent** Crimes!

QUIZ TIME

Other Theft Crimes – Common Law – Part 2

Extortion ("Blackmail"):

- (1) Causing the victim to voluntarily relinquish property
- (2) by the threat of
- (3) wrongful action or force
 - The threat *does not* have to be of an action that would have been illegal!

Receiving Stolen Property:

- (1) Knowingly receiving
- (2) Stolen property
- (3) with the intent to permanently deprive the true owner of its possession
 - ➤ Defendant must know (actual knowledge) that it is stolen; the fact that a reasonable person should have known the property was stolen is not relevant. However, circumstantial evidence can be used to prove this knowledge. ("I didn't know" is a good defense if the jury believes it).